



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/743,004	12/29/2000	Mikio Iwamura	15689.63	3051

22913 7590 11/20/2006

WORKMAN NYDEGGER
(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY)
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111

EXAMINER

CASCA, FRED A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/743,004

Applicant(s)

IWAMURA ET AL.

Examiner

Fred A. Casca

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3, 4, 7, 9-13, 38, 39, 43, 44, 50, 51 and 56-66 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3, 4, 7, 9-13, 38, 39, 43, 44, 50-51 and 56-66 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s) -

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 9, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 9, 12, 50-51 and 60-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al (Non-Patent Literature document cited as document number 2 in IDS filed on June 02, 2005:B-259 Base Station Code Assignment for A CDMA/TDD System, Page 259, 1995 IEICE (the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers) Communication Society Convention) in view of Hamabe (US Patent Number 5,603,082).

Regarding claim 9, Watanabe discloses a method (page 2, line 18 to page 4, line 4) of searching for a neighboring cell (page 3, line 18) in a mobile communications system allowing a mobile station communicating with a plurality of base stations (Figure 2) to decide a sector the mobile station waits for or communicates with, by using grouped channel identifiers (codes) sent from sectors to the mobile station, the method characterized by including the steps of:

assigning (allocating) channel identifiers (for example: codes A, B,...H) belonging to a same group (for example: group 0) to the sectors within a same base station (page 3, lines 6-11); and

searching (scan) for other channel identifiers in the same group as the channel identifier of a sector already-captured by the mobile station prior to channel identifier in the other groups (it is decided that the mobile station resides in H within group 0; therefore, "already-captured" H - page 3, lines 12-18, note that the search is inherently conducted within the group that the mobile station belongs before searching for channel identifiers in other groups. Further, the other groups could broadly be interpreted as a group that belongs to a different MSC).

Watanabe fails to specify the sectors are within a same base station as defined by applicant.

In the same field of endeavor, Hamabe discloses a method of searching for a neighboring cell in a mobile communications system wherein the base stations are divided in sectors and each sector is assigned a channel identifiers (for example: codes 13-18 to sectors 33a-33f within base station 13 - column 7, lines 56-67 and Figure 4). An advantage of Hamabe's sectorized base station is better frequency reuse and increased service capacity.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Watanabe such that sectors are within a same base station for the advantage of better frequency reuse and increased service capacity.

...As to claim 12, it is the corresponding system claim of method claim 9; therefore, same rejection explained above is applied.

Referring to claims 50-51 and 63-64, the combinations of Watanabe/Hamabe disclose everything claimed as applied above (see rejection of claims 9 and 12). Furthermore, Watanabe discloses that the channel identifier consists of a spreading code or a carrier frequency; and the channel identifier is included in a perch channel signal (page 3, lines 2, and 19-23).

Regarding claim 60, the combinations of Watanabe/Hamabe disclose the mobile station of claim 12 (as rejected above) and further disclose means for recording the group of the channel identifier; means for receiving the channel identifier from the base station; and means for searching for other channel identifiers in a same group as the channel identifier received by said

Art Unit: 2617

receiving means belongs to, prior to channel identifiers in the other groups (it is decided that the mobile station resides in H within group 0; therefore, "already-captured" H - page 3, lines 12-18. Please see rejection of claim 9).

Regarding claims 61-62, the combinations of Watanabe/Hamabe disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claims 9 and 12). Additionally, Watanabe discloses that the channel identifier consists of a spreading code or a carrier frequency; and the channel identifier is included in a perch channel signal (page 3, lines 2, and 19-23).

4. Claims 3-4, 10, 38, 34, and 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamabe (US Patent Number 5,603,082) in view of Watanabe et al (Non-Patent Literature document cited as document number 2 in IDS filed on June 02, 2005:B-259 Base Station Code Assignment for A CDMA/TDD System, Page 259. 1995 IEICE (the Institute of Electronics, Information and Communication Engineers) Communication Society Convention).

Regarding claim 3, Hamabe discloses a mobile communications system (Figure 1) including a mobile station (21) that communicates with a plurality of base stations (11-13), and decides sectors the mobile station waits for or communicates with by using grouped channel identifiers (for example, group 1: slots 1-6; group 2: slots 7-12; group 3: slots 13-18) sent from sectors (at the BS) to the mobile station, wherein said mobile communications system assigns channel identifiers belonging to a same group (for example, group 1: slots 1-6 are assigned to BS 11) to the sectors in a same base station (column 7, line 55 to column 8, line 20; column 9, lines 41-55).

Hamabe fails to disclose: means for recording the group of the channel identifier; means for receiving the channel identifier from the base station; and means for searching for other channel identifiers in a same group as the channel identifier received by said receiving means belongs to, prior to channel identifier in the other groups, as claimed.

In the same field of endeavor, Watanabe discloses means for recording the group of the channel identifier; means for receiving the channel identifier from the base station; and means

for searching for other channel identifiers in a same group as the channel identifier received by said receiving means belongs to, prior to channel identifier in the other groups, as explained in the rejection of claims 9 and 12 above, explanation that is incorporated by reference.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamabe as claimed for the advantage of better frequency reuse and increased service capacity.

— Regarding claim 4, the combinations Hamabe/Watanabe disclose everything claimed as applied above (see rejection of claim 3), and further disclose that the system further includes assigning contiguous base stations channel identifiers belonging to other groups (Hamabe, column 7, lines 55-67, for example, group 2: slots 7-12 assigned to BS 12 contiguous to BS 11).

Regarding claims 38 and 43, the combinations Hamabe/Watanabe disclose everything claimed as applied above (see rejection of claim 3), and further disclose the channel identifier consists of a spreading code or a carrier frequency (Hamabe, column 1, line 35: Hamabe's channel is carrier frequency; therefore, the channel identifier is included in a perch channel (control channel) signal (column 5, lines 64-67). Furthermore, Watanabe discloses the channel identifier consists of a spreading code or a carrier frequency; and the channel identifier is included in a perch channel signal (page 3, lines 2, and 19-23).

Regarding claim 10, Hamabe discloses a mobile communications system (Figure 1) allowing the mobile station (21) communicating with a plurality of base stations (11-13) to decide a sector the mobile station waits for or communicates with, by using grouped channel identifiers (for example, group 1, slots 1-6; group 2: slots 7-12; group 3: slots 13-18) sent from sectors (at the BS) to the mobile station, including:

means for (inherent) assigning channel identifiers belonging to a same group (for example, group 1: slots 1-6 are assigned to BS 11) to the sectors within a same base station (column 7, line 55 to column 8, line 20; column 10, lines 20-58; column 12, lines 1-33), means for (inherent) sending from a base station (11) to a visiting mobile station (mobile station 21 is "visiting") a

Art Unit: 2617

notification (identification signal) of any one of channel identifiers assigned to sectors of one of neighboring base stations, and/or a notification of a group number to which the channel identifiers belong. (Column 9, lines 41-55; column 10, lines 20-58; column 12, lines 1-33).

Hamabe fails to disclose: means for recording the group of the channel identifier; means for receiving the channel identifier from the base station; and means for searching for other channel identifiers in a same group as the channel identifier received by said receiving means belongs to, prior to channel identifiers in the other groups, as claimed.

In the same field of endeavor, Watanabe discloses means for recording the group of the channel identifier; means for receiving the channel identifier from the base station; and means for "prior" searching for other channel identifiers in a same group as the channel identifier received by said receiving means belongs to, prior to channel identifiers in the other groups, as explained with the rejection of claims 9 and 12 above, explanation that is incorporated by reference.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamabe as claimed for the advantage of better frequency reuse and increased service capacity.

Regarding claim 58-59, the combinations of Watanabe/Hamabe disclose everything claimed as applied above (see rejection of claim 10), and further disclose the channel identifier consists of a spreading code or a carrier frequency (Hamabe, column 1, line 35: Hamabe's channel is carrier frequency; therefore,). The channel identifier is included in a perch channel (control channel) signal (column 5, lines 64-67). Additionally, Watanabe discloses that the channel identifier consists of a spreading code or a carrier frequency; and the channel identifier is included in a perch channel signal (page 3, lines 2, and 9-23).

5. Claims 7, 11, 13, 39, 44, 56-57, and 65-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamabe (US Patent Number 5,603,082) in view of Taketsugu (US Patent Number 5,530,910).

Regarding claim 7, Hamabe discloses a method of searching for a neighboring cell utilizing information (identification signal containing channel identifier) sent from sectors (at BS 11-13) to a mobile station (21) in a mobile communications system (Figure 1) allowing the mobile station (21) communicating with a plurality of base stations (11-13) to decide a sector the mobile station waits for or communicates with, by using grouped channel identifiers (for example, group 1, slots 1-6; group 2: slots 7-12; group 3: slots 13-18) sent from sectors (at the BS) to the mobile station, including the steps of:

assigning channel identifiers belonging to a same group (for example, group 1, slots 1-6 are assigned to BS 11) to the sectors within a same base station. (See column 7, line 55 to column 8, line 20), sending from a base station (11) to a visiting mobile station (mobile station 21 is "visiting") a notification (identification signal) of any one of channel identifiers assigned to sectors of one of neighboring base stations, and/or a notification of a group number to which the channel identifiers belong (Column 9, lines 41-55).

Hamabe fails to disclose that the channel identifier notified in the step of sending a notification is a channel identifier of a sector which belongs to the neighboring base station and to which the greatest number of the mobile stations make handover from a current sector as defined by applicant.

In the same field of endeavor, Taketsugu discloses that the channel identifier notified in the step of sending a notification is a channel identifier of a sector which belongs to the neighboring base station and to which the greatest number of the mobile stations make handover from a current sector (column 2, line 44 to column 4, line 34).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamabe as claimed for the advantage of more efficient handover candidate search.

Regarding claim 39 and 44, the combinations of Hamabe/Taketsugu disclose everything claimed as applied above (see rejection of claim 7), and further disclose channel identifier consists of a spreading code or a carrier frequency (column 1, line 35: Hamabe's channel is

carrier frequency). The channel identifier is included in a perch channel (control channel) signal (column 5, lines 64-67).

Regarding claim 11, Hamabe discloses a mobile communications system (Figure 1) allowing the mobile station (21) communicating with a plurality of base stations (11-13) to decide a sector the mobile station waits for or communicates with, by using grouped channel identifiers (for example, group 1, slots 1-6; group 2: slots 7-12; group 3: slots 13-18) sent from sectors (at the BS) to the mobile station, including:

means for (inherent) assigning channel identifiers belonging to a same group (for example, group 1: slots 1-6 are assigned to BS 11) to the sectors within a same base station. (column 7, line 55 to column 8, line 20; column 10, lines 20-58; column 12, lines 1-33), means for (inherent) sending from a base station (11) to a visiting mobile station (mobile station 21 is "visiting") a notification (identification signal) of any one of channel identifiers assigned to sectors of one of neighboring base stations, and/or a notification of a group number to which the channel identifiers belong. (See column 9, lines 41-55; column 10, lines 20-58; column 12, lines 1-33).

Hamabe fails to disclose that the channel identifier notified in the step of sending a notification is a channel identifier of a sector which belongs to the neighboring base station and to which the greatest number of the mobile stations make handover from a current sector as defined by applicant.

In the same field of endeavor, Taketsugu discloses that the channel identifier notified in the step of sending a notification is a channel identifier of a sector which belongs to the neighboring base station and to which the greatest number of the mobile stations make handover from a current sector (column 2, line 44 to column 4, line 34).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamabe as claimed for the advantage of more efficient handover candidate search.

Regarding claim 56-57, the combinations of Hamabe/Taketsugu disclose everything claimed as applied above (see rejection of claim 11), and further disclose the channel identifier

Art Unit: 2617

consists of a spreading code or a carrier frequency (Hamabe, column 1, line 35: Hamabe's channel is carrier frequency;), and the channel identifier is included in a perch channel (control channel) signal (Hamabe, column 5, lines 64-67).

Regarding claim 13, Hamabe discloses a base station (11) in a mobile communications system (Figure 1) allowing the mobile station (21) communicating with a plurality of base stations (11-13) to decide a sector the mobile station waits for or communicates with, by using grouped channel identifiers (for example, group 1: slots 1-6; group 2: slots 7-12; group 3: slots 13-18) sent from sectors (at the BS) to the mobile station, including the steps of:

means for (inherent) assigning channel identifiers belonging to a same group (for example, group 1: slots 1-6 are assigned to BS 11) to the sectors within a same base station (column 7, line 55 to column 8, line 20; column 10, lines 20-58; column 12, lines 1-33), means for (inherent) sending from a base station (11) to a visiting mobile station (mobile station 21 is "visiting") a notification (identification signal) of any one of channel identifiers assigned to sectors of one of neighboring base stations, and/or a notification of a group number to which the channel identifiers belong. (See column 9, lines 41-55; column 10, lines 20-58; column 12, lines 1-33).

Hamabe fails to disclose that the channel identifier notified in the step of sending a notification is a channel identifier of a sector which belongs to the neighboring base station and to which the greatest number of the mobile stations make handover from a current sector as defined by applicant.

In the same field of endeavor, Taketsugu discloses that the channel identifier notified in the step of sending a notification is a channel identifier of a sector which belongs to the neighboring base station and to which the greatest number of the mobile stations make handover from a current sector (column 2, line 44 to column 4, line 34).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hamabe as claimed for the advantage of more efficient handover candidate search.

Regarding claim 65 and 66, the combinations Hamabe/Taketsugu disclose everything claimed as applied above (see rejection of claim 13), and further disclose the channel identifier consists of a spreading code or a carrier frequency (Hamabe, column 1, line 35: Hamabe's channel is carrier frequency; therefore), and the channel identifier is included in a perch channel (control channel) signal (Hamabe, column 5, lines 64-67).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed August 9, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

7. In response to applicant's argument that the applicant's invention is an asynchronous system directed to assign channel identifiers belonging to a same group to the sectors in a same base station, and the mobile station searching a neighboring cell, first searches other channel identifiers in a same group as the received channel identifier belongs to, it is noted that such elements are not specifically recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred A. Casca whose telephone number is (571) 272-7918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid, can be reached at (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2617

system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JEAN GELIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
